

HOME NEWS.

THE WEATHER.

New-York. Heat, Bar. Wind. Mar. 4-5 29° 29 34 N.W.
Mar. 4-5 30° 29 37 R.W.
Mar. 4-5 31° 29 36 N.W.
Mar. 4-5 32° 29 35 N.W.

REMARKS. The sun rises at 5 a.m.; sets at 5:30; overcast from 1 to 2, and from 4 to 6 p.m.; above from 7:25, to 9 p.m.

ALBANY.—Overcast to 2:30 a.m.; clear at 6 a.m.; overcast again at 10 a.m.; overcast to 1 p.m.; clear at 2 p.m.; overcast again at 4 p.m.; overcast to 6 p.m.; clear at 8 p.m.

NEW YORK.—Cloudy to 1 a.m., and at 5:30; overcast from 6 to 7 a.m.; clear at 8 a.m.; overcast to 1 p.m.; clear at 2 p.m.; overcast to 4 p.m.; overcast to 6 p.m.; clear at 8 p.m.

CLIFTON, S. L.—Several burglaries have lately been committed on the island, and no clue to the robbers could be obtained until a few days since, when Mr. Abram J. Wood, the attorney for the State, took up the case. The accused and the thief were given him by a man in payment for a debt. He was at one time a captain in the navy, and is very respectably connected. He was committed to the Tombs.

In the Court of General Sessions, yesterday, before Judge Bedford, James E. Gaffey pleaded guilty to burglary, and received his sentence. Suspecting him, Mr. O'Connor gave the balance (\$600), and afterward paid the check away. Subsequently Mr. O'Connor learned that the check was worthless, which put him at a loss. The attorney for the State, however, got the check, and gave it back to him. The accused was tried, and the check was given him by a man in payment for a debt. He was at one time a captain in the navy, and is very respectably connected. He was committed to the Tombs.

HOBOKEN.—The Hoboken ferry-boat, James Rumsey, ran into the slip, last night, and cut the cable, so that the boat was adrift, and the passengers were injured.

JERSEY CITY.—The Children's Home Fair, has opened (\$100,000). Justice Meagher yesterday issued a warrant for the arrest of another Justice named Curran, charged with extortion and malfeasance, and causing the arrest of the woman Gillice, whom arrest was made yesterday. The warrant has not yet been executed.

THE CITY.

Gov. Hoffman is at the Clarendon Hotel.

Mr. D. F. Dimon, will, this evening, give an entertainment, consisting of reading and recitation, at Rutgers Female College.

The National Academy of Design, which had been announced to close last night, will remain open to the public to-day and to-morrow.

Among the passengers by the steamer Perit, Capt. Gardner, from Key West, is Admiral Mariano of the Peruvian Navy.

James Enright, a New-York runner, was yesterday fined \$50 for soliciting passengers at the Jersey City Ferry.

Wm. Smith, a native of England, aged 75 years, who was nearly smothered in his apartment at No. 42 Second-st., on Tuesday evening last, died yesterday in University Hospital. Coroner Schirmer will hold an inquest today.

Edward J. Calvey of No. 100 West Thirty-seventh-st., was accidentally thrown from his express wagon, in the East River, yesterday, and seriously injured about the head. His wound was dressed at the Dispensary, and he was then removed home.

Rinaldo Migliolo, the murderer who attempted last week, at the Tontis, to commit suicide by cutting his throat with a piece of window-glass, is rapidly recovering, and will probably soon be able to work. He is lying at the prison hospital.

A respected old man, brought from Canada arrived in this city yesterday on business, was unable to find a rooming apartment at either of the hotels at which he applied. He was informed that no first-class hotel would take a colored person as a guest.

Mr. J. G. Pangburn will open a velocipede school at No. 14 Fulton-st., on Tuesday next, for the benefit of merchants and others who desire to take a turn on the velocipede down town during the day. The machines are to be let by the hour and half-hour.

Dr. Csapoky, United States Consul at Bucharest, and Dr. J. H. St. John, Consul of Switzerland, are at the Astor House. Wendell Phillips is at the St. Denis Hotel. Gen. Kene of Pennsylvania, E. P. Rose, Auburn, and the Rev. J. G. Blodson of Alabama, are at the St. Nicholas Hotel.

The proprietors of Sweeney's Hotel have sent out a circular to Worcester, Mass., to identify the boy, Mr. Wood, who is under arrest there for various offenses, and who is supposed to be the confidence man who swindled Mr. Sweeney with a forged letter from Mrs. Dr. Chapman.

The Century Club, according to the recent report of its Treasurer, is free of debt, and holds \$2,000 in United States and \$22,000 worth of pictures, besides other valuable property. When accommodations comprise a picture-gallery, spacious parlors, and comfortable reading and billiard-rooms.

White Wm. Saunders, a laborer residing at No. 22 East Forty-fourth-st., was engaged yesterday in digging a cellar at No. 387 Third-ave., for the benefit of his employer, who is a contractor. The laborer was taken ill after digging out, and was removed to the Morgue. Coroner Schirmer will hold an inquest to day.

By order of the Court, CHAS. E. LOEW, Clerk.

BOUNTY SWINDLING—THE LUCKY CASE.

In the U. S. Commissioner's office, before Commissioner Osborn, the case of the United States against J. Nelson Luckey was again brought up. This case, previously reported, is now in the hands of the defense, and the trial date is still uncertain, which one Dr. K. A. Hodder, a soldier, living in Westchester County, had employed to collect, was resumed, pursuant to adjournment.

The monthly meeting of the American Institute held its meeting at Room No. 24 Cooper Institute, the Hon. Dudley S. Gregory, V. P. presiding. Fourteen candidates were honored for and elected. Prof. Tilden, from the Board of Trade, was chosen president. The number of scientific lectures held at Alton Hall, showing their cost to be \$2,500, from which should be deducted the receipts from tickets held by non-members, \$1,250. The society also sent in the nominations of John W. Chamberlain, Clark, and Mortimer W. Thompson, whose names were confirmed.

Some half a dozen investigating Committees for the Legislature are now sitting in this city. The gas companies, the sewerage system, the alleged illegal sales of stock by the Erie and Central Railways, the affairs of the Merchants' Union Express Company, and Public schools, are the subjects of examination. The proceedings of the first two Committees are fully reported elsewhere. The other Committees have not yet got fairly to work. The Committee on Contested Elections finished taking testimony and adjourned sine die yesterday.

Nearly two weeks ago a box containing 37 solid and silver watches was stolen from a store at the corner of Barclay and West-st., by some unknown person. Since then Capt. Ulman and Detective Tilly have been engaged in searching for the stolen property. On Wednesday evening, Captain Ulman absent from the Chancery of the Chamberlain Police Station, and gave to the Sergeant in charge a box directed "To Capt. Ulman, or Detective Tilly." When Capt. Ulman returned and opened the box he found it contained the stolen watches. Nothing is known concerning the buy or the unknown thief.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said his counsel, for some unexplained reason, was not present.

The Commissioner asked Mr. Abner B. Newcomb, the Special Agent to investigate by claim agents, how many claimants had been made against the

defendant.

Mr. Newcomb said he had taken down the names of 325 claimants, and sent the names to the defendant, through defendant's counsel, Mr. Donahue, but he (Mr. Newcomb) had only looked into four complaints for proof.

The Commissioner said that in addition to this case of Brady's, he had other claimants made to him by 12 different persons, and the defendant, he said, was not present to see Mr. Newcomb to if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him, made by any of his former employers, for the benefit of his past or his present.

Mr. Newcomb said he had called at defendant's office several times within the last five weeks in relation to payments of claims, and could get no satisfaction.

The defendant said he had no claim against him, but was authorized to see if the facts were such as to authorize the issue of new warrants.

The defendant said he had never refused to pay any legitimate demands upon him,